EXECUTIVE MEDICAL REIMBURSEMENT PLANS

Why Offering Executive Medical Reimbursement Remains a Viable Option

Please note this information is provided as a courtesy only and should not be construed or interpreted as any form of legal advice. We recommend you seek the independent counsel of your legal advisors.
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In today’s competitive business environment, it has become increasingly challenging for successful companies to attract top talent. With low unemployment rates and a scarcity of qualified candidates on the market, companies continually struggle to find ways to recruit and retain high-performing C-suite and top-level management candidates.

A recent Towers Watson talent management survey found that nearly two-thirds of employers are experiencing problems attracting top performers and high-potential employees, while more than half report difficulty retaining top employees. Additionally, ExecuNet reports that only one out of three executives expect to stay at their organization for another year, compared to almost two out of four two years ago.

**A VITAL RESOURCE**

Traditionally, employers sought to retain these mission-critical individuals by offering long-term incentives, such as an attractive health benefits package. In a recent global survey of more than 900 executives, conducted by the Association of Executive Search Consultants, 61% of respondents said that such long-term incentives motivate them to remain at their current company, and about half of those who receive them said the incentives motivate them to higher levels of performance. One program that historically has been popular with key leaders are so-called “executive medical reimbursement plans” or “executive health plans.”
These plans typically fund the executive’s co-insurance obligations under the primary plan, as well as provide additional benefits and contracted services not covered or offered by the primary plan—such as vision; dental; comprehensive physical exams; timely, personalized clinical support to address illnesses; and evacuation or travel service in the event of an emergency.

While many companies have had much success in leveraging these enhanced health benefits to attract, retain and reward executives, some benefit consultants have questioned whether they remain viable after the passage of the Affordable Care Act (ACA) in March 2010.

**THE ACA**

The ACA made sweeping and complex changes to the healthcare system. Executive medical reimbursement plans that were structured as healthcare products did not meet the requirements of the ACA and many issuers of these products elected to discontinue these plans, rather than restructure them to either comply with the ACA or to fall within an exception to full ACA compliance.

The decision of some plans to exit the market has created the impression that executive medical reimbursement plans are no longer viable. That, however, is not the case. While the landscape has changed, executive health benefits are not a thing of the past. You can still attract and retain “the best and the brightest” employees by offering an executive benefit program with a medical reimbursement...
plan that is not restricted by all of the complex regulatory requirements set forth by ACA. The key is to ensure that the plan is properly structured to meet current federal laws and regulations.

KEY REQUIREMENTS

First, the fundamental requirement—that your plan must be fully insured—still applies. Insurance typically means that the insurance carrier is responsible for funding and taking risk on claims. Section 105(h) of the IRS tax code states that reimbursements cannot favor highly compensated employees over the rest of the workforce, unless provided under a contract of insurance. Thus, a plan that is largely self-funded, even if administered independently, likely will run afoul of these regulations.

Second, certain categories of health benefits, referred to as “excepted benefits,” are exempt from compliance with many of the federal laws that regulate primary health plans. This is not a new concept. Excepted benefits were first defined in the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA).

Historically, excepted benefits have been exempt from the provisions of the Tax Code, the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) and HIPAA, which set minimum standards for most voluntarily established pension and health plans in private industry. The ACA takes that same approach and exempts excepted benefits from most of its requirements.
In order to be an “excepted benefit,” the benefit must fall within one or more of four categories. Each category is separately defined and has different requirements. Multiple categories can be “bundled” and offered in a single contract of insurance. However, the requirements for a specific category continue to apply to that category alone, not all. Thus, it is important when evaluating an insurance policy that includes multiple categories of excepted benefits to apply the right standards to the right benefits. The four categories of “excepted benefits” are:

- Incidental health benefits that are included in other forms of insurance, like auto insurance.
- Benefits that are limited in scope, such as vision, dental or long term care.
- Benefits that cover only a specific disease or that provide fixed indemnity.
- Benefits that supplement Medicare or TRICARE, or that provide similar supplemental coverage to a private primary plan.
UNDERSTANDING SIMILAR SUPPLEMENTAL COVERAGE

The category of excepted benefits that has caused the most marketplace confusion is “similar supplemental coverage.” The term “similar” is a comparison to the additional coverage that Medicare supplemental polices provide to an individual’s Medicare coverage. Statutory law does not identify the features of a benefit plan that make it “similar” to Medicare supplemental coverage. However, federal regulations issued by the Departments of the Treasury, Labor, and HHS in 2004 describe similar supplemental coverage as coverage that is specifically designed to fill gaps in primary coverage, such as coinsurance or deductibles. In addition, the Departments have issued guidance that further describes the features of this category of excepted benefits.

In issuing its guidance, the Departments noted that their goal was to prevent issuers from avoiding compliance with healthcare reform by “issuing multiple insurance contracts in connection with a plan....” To that end, the guidance describes the features of genuinely supplemental (and excepted) coverage, as opposed to coverage which, though labeled supplemental, actually “is designed to provide a major portion of the medical benefits to the participants of the primary group health plan....”

As part of their guidance, the Departments identified four safe harbor criteria which, if met, will automatically qualify a supplemental health insurance product as an excepted benefit in...
the view of the Departments. The safe harbor criteria are not requirements or mandates. If a product does not meet each of the safe harbor criteria, a product is not disqualified as an excepted benefit. Rather, the Departments may require additional analysis of the product to make sure that it is supplemental in nature and designed to fill gaps in primary coverage.

**SAFE HARBOR CRITERIA**

The four safe harbor criteria established by the Departments are:

- The policy cannot be issued by the same insurer that issued the primary plan.
- The policy must be designed to fill gaps in primary coverage with no coordination of benefits. The Departments have recognized that coverage “gaps” include both cost sharing obligations imposed by the primary plan and additional benefits not included in the primary plan as long as those additional benefits are not considered essential health benefits.
- The value of the supplemental coverage cannot exceed 15% of the cost of the primary coverage. HHS Memo describes this calculation as an evaluation of whether “the proportion of total benefits that is charged to a policyholder as cost-sharing [is] similar to the proportion of total Medicare benefits that is charged to beneficiaries as cost-sharing.” [Emphasis added.] The HHS Memo notes that the Departments will consider “any reasonable method” for calculating the value of total coverage.
- The policy cannot use health factors to differentiate between individuals in terms of benefits, eligibility or premiums.
To the extent that a supplemental medical reimbursement plan qualifies as an excepted benefit, it would be exempt from the provisions of Part 7 of ERISA, chapter 100 of the IRS tax code, and Title XXVII of the Public Health Service Act, including certain relevant provisions added by the ACA. A plan provider should be able to specifically address how the plan meets each of the four requirements of the safe harbor guidelines, including the 15% cost calculation.

**KEY REGULATORY EXCERPTS**

**On bundling excepted benefits:** Under HIPAA, “the term ‘excepted benefits’ means benefits under one or more (or any combination thereof) of” four categories of benefits. (42 USC §300gg-91(c)).

**On the purpose for the DOL Field Assistance Bulletin:** In order to prevent issuers from avoiding compliance with ERISA’s health reform provisions by issuing multiple insurance contracts in connection with a plan, this bulletin establishes an enforcement safe harbor under which supplemental health insurance will be considered excepted benefits for purposes of Part 7 of ERISA. Similar supplemental coverage that does not meet the standards for this safe harbor may be subject to enforcement actions by the Department. [Emphasis added.]

**On the guidelines not being a “pass/fail” test:** The section immediately below (SAFE HARBOR STANDARDS) provides that if the standards in that section are satisfied, the supplemental health insurance will be considered to satisfy the conditions for being
excepted benefits for purposes of chapter 100. Supplemental health insurance not satisfying the conditions for the safe harbor is subject to further examination for a determination whether it is not “similar supplemental coverage to coverage under a group health plan” and thus is subject to all the requirements of chapter 100. [Emphasis added.]

On the 15% value of coverage: The proportion of total benefits that is charged to a policy-holder as cost-sharing should be similar to the proportion of total Medicare benefits that is charged to beneficiaries as cost-sharing. According to CMS actuaries, this proportion is currently around 15 percent. [Emphasis added.]

We will consider any reasonable method for calculating the value of the total coverage.
SUMMARY

In summation, with proper guidance and knowledge of the right choice of group insurance plans, it is still possible to offer an executive medical reimbursement plan that is not restricted by ACA, that meets the specific excepted benefit guidelines, and delivers all the key ingredients that will allow you to attract and retain the strategic executives your company needs.

Contact our experts today to get more in-depth information on the regulatory framework for certain excepted benefit plans.

A NOTE ON ULTIMATE HEALTH

Ultimate Health, underwritten by Transamerica, is a limited benefit and supplemental insurance plan that combines four advantages into one solution:

- Robust reimbursement coverage for medical, dental, vision, prescriptions, flex-type expenses and more.
- TopDoc Connect for guidance and access to leading specialty physicians.
- Coverage toward Executive Physicals.
- Take Me Home Emergency Travel program.

This policy, available in 43 states, can be carved out by employee class and added on to any primary qualified health plan that has in-network, out-of-network and prescription coverage. These flexible features enable you to create a robust benefit package that will keep your top performers happy, healthy and productive.

Take Me Home travel emergency services, TopDoc Connect and Executive Physical coordination services are provided by ArmadaCare’s designated providers and subject to specific terms, conditions, limitations and exclusions. Take Me Home is a registered mark of UnitedHealthcare Global.

Ultimate Health is available in all states except CT, KS, MT, NH, NJ, NM, VT and WA.
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